

The Popular And The Canonical Debating Twentieth Century Literature 1940 2000 Author David Johnson Published On August 2005

Re-Evaluating Literary Canon: David Johnson's "The Popular and the Canonical Debating Twentieth Century Literature 1940-2000"

Keywords: Literary Canon, 20th Century Literature, Popular Literature, David Johnson, Canon Formation

The ongoing debate surrounding the literary canon is a complex and fascinating one. David Johnson's insightful work, "The Popular and the Canonical Debating Twentieth Century Literature 1940-2000," published in August 2005, offers a significant contribution to this conversation. Johnson meticulously examines the power dynamics inherent in the selection and exclusion of texts from the established canon, particularly focusing on the period between 1940 and 2000—a time of significant social, political, and artistic upheaval. This article will delve into the key arguments presented in Johnson's book, exploring its methodology, impact, and lasting relevance in the ongoing discussions surrounding **canonical literature** and its relationship to **popular culture**.

The Shifting Sands of the Literary Canon: A Historical Context

Johnson's book effectively contextualizes the debate surrounding the literary canon within the broader historical context of the mid-20th century. He argues that the established canon, often perceived as objective and timeless, is instead a product of specific historical forces and power structures. The post-World War II era witnessed a significant expansion of literacy and access to literature, leading to a surge in both high and lowbrow literary production. This period also saw the rise of new literary movements, such as the Beat Generation and postmodernism, challenging traditional notions of style and form. Johnson masterfully traces how these cultural shifts impacted the selection and promotion of certain works, while others, often deemed "popular" or "genre" fiction, remained marginalized. He highlights the inherent biases—often based on class, race, and gender—that shaped the **canon formation** process.

Johnson's Methodology: Deconstructing the Power Structures

Johnson employs a multi-faceted approach to analyze the interplay between the popular and the canonical. He doesn't merely present a list of "popular" authors excluded from the canon and "canonical" authors included. Instead, he examines the critical discourse surrounding particular texts, tracing how certain works gained prominence while others fell by the wayside. His analysis includes a detailed examination of literary reviews, academic essays, and textbook selections, revealing the subtle (and sometimes not-so-subtle) mechanisms that determined which authors and works were deemed "worthy" of inclusion in the literary canon. This meticulous approach underscores the subjective and often arbitrary nature of **canonical literature** selection.

Challenging Assumptions: Popular Literature and its Canonization

One of the most significant contributions of Johnson's work is its challenge to the perceived dichotomy between "popular" and "canonical" literature. He argues that this binary opposition is artificial and ultimately unproductive. He demonstrates how many works initially categorized as "popular" fiction—science fiction, romance novels, detective stories—possess significant literary merit and have exerted a considerable influence on broader cultural trends. Johnson effectively dismantles the notion that high literary merit is solely confined to a select group of authors and texts traditionally included within the canon. By examining the enduring popularity and influence of authors often overlooked by traditional literary scholarship, he demonstrates the limitations of a narrowly defined canon and the richness of literary expression beyond its confines. This approach is particularly relevant to understanding the evolution of **20th-century literature's** diverse landscape.

The Enduring Legacy of Johnson's Work: A Continuing Dialogue

"The Popular and the Canonical Debating Twentieth Century Literature 1940-2000" remains a vital contribution to the ongoing debate about the literary canon. Its impact extends beyond purely academic circles; it influences how we understand the creation and maintenance of literary value judgments. The book has stimulated further research into the sociology and politics of canon formation, encouraging scholars to

explore the diverse literary landscapes previously marginalized. The continued relevance of Johnson's work is evident in the persistent calls for greater inclusivity and diversity within the literary canon, reflecting a growing awareness of the historical biases that have shaped our understanding of literature.

Conclusion: Redefining Literary Value

David Johnson's "The Popular and the Canonical Debating Twentieth Century Literature 1940-2000" provides a compelling and nuanced analysis of the intricate relationship between popular and canonical literature in the 20th century. By meticulously examining the historical, social, and cultural factors influencing the selection and exclusion of texts, Johnson compels readers to question long-held assumptions about literary value. The book serves as a crucial reminder that the literary canon is not a fixed entity but rather a dynamic and contested space, constantly shaped by evolving social, political, and aesthetic considerations. Its enduring legacy lies in its challenge to traditional notions of literary merit and its contribution to a more inclusive and representative understanding of 20th-century literature.

FAQ

Q1: What is the main argument of Johnson's book?

A1: Johnson argues that the literary canon is not a neutral or objective collection of works, but rather a construct shaped by historical and social forces, often reflecting biases related to class, race, and gender. He challenges the traditional dichotomy between "popular" and "canonical" literature, showing how many "popular" works possess significant literary merit and have had a profound cultural impact.

Q2: How does Johnson's work differ from previous studies of the literary canon?

A2: While previous studies often focused on specific authors or movements, Johnson takes a more comprehensive approach, examining the broader mechanisms of canon formation through an analysis of literary criticism, reviews, and textbook selections. He particularly emphasizes the period between 1940 and 2000, a time of rapid social and cultural change that profoundly impacted literary production and reception.

Q3: What methodologies does Johnson employ in his analysis?

A3: Johnson uses a variety of methods, including close reading of literary texts, analysis of critical discourse surrounding those texts, and an examination of the socio-political context in which they were produced and received. His work is deeply interdisciplinary, drawing on insights from literary theory, sociology, and cultural studies.

Q4: How does Johnson's book relate to contemporary debates about diversity and inclusivity in literature?

A4: Johnson's work directly contributes to these debates by exposing the historical biases that have excluded certain authors and genres from the canon. His critique of the canon's limitations encourages a more inclusive and representative view of literary history, highlighting the literary merit of works previously overlooked due to their "popular" status or the authors' marginalized social identities.

Q5: What is the significance of the time period (1940-2000) chosen by Johnson?

A5: The period 1940-2000 encompasses significant shifts in social and cultural landscapes, including post-war anxieties, the rise of mass media, and evolving social movements. This period witnessed considerable changes in literary production and reception, making it an ideal case study for analyzing the dynamic interplay between popular and canonical literature and the processes through which a canon is constructed and maintained.

Q6: What are some examples of authors or works discussed in Johnson's book?

A6: While the book doesn't focus on specific authors exclusively, Johnson's analyses draw upon a broad range of canonical and popular authors and texts from the period. Specific examples would require deeper engagement with the book itself, as its strength lies in its overall analytical framework rather than a detailed case-by-case study. However, the book implicitly touches on many authors who were marginalized during their time.

Q7: What are the implications of Johnson's findings for literary education?

A7: Johnson's findings suggest a need for a more inclusive and representative approach to literary education. It encourages educators to reconsider the traditional canon and to incorporate a wider range of authors and genres, reflecting the diverse literary landscape of the 20th century and beyond. This includes actively challenging biases in curriculum selection and fostering critical engagement with the historical forces that shape our understanding of literature.

Q8: Where can I find a copy of Johnson's book?

A8: Since "The Popular and the Canonical Debating Twentieth Century Literature 1940-2000" was published in 2005, finding it may require searching online bookstores like Amazon, Abebooks, or university library catalogs. Used copies might be more readily available. Checking university libraries specializing in English Literature would also be a good starting point.

Navigating the Literary Landscape: A Deep Dive into David Johnson's "The Popular and the Canonical: Debating Twentieth-Century Literature 1940-2000"

David Johnson's groundbreaking 2005 exploration of "The Popular and the Canonical: Debating Twentieth-Century Literature 1940-2000" provides a compelling view at the enduring conflict between widely consumed literature and the pieces deemed essential by literary institutions. This article will delve into the core themes outlined in Johnson's text, underscoring its importance for appreciating the complex relationship between popular preference and high literature.

Johnson's work masterfully explores the shifting terrain of literary merit throughout the latter half of the twentieth century. He probes the often unyielding distinctions established between "high" and "low" culture, suggesting that such classifications are typically subjective and omit to recognize for the complex influences between them.

One of the highly important elements of Johnson's method is his inclination to grapple with the messy nuances of literary assessment. He avoids simply offer a catalog of canonical texts, but instead analyzes the processes by which certain books achieve established status while others, maybe equally meritorious, stay in the background. He demonstrates this through comprehensive example examinations of individual authors and novels, exploring the contributions of reviewers, editors, and audiences in molding literary canons.

Johnson's book also sheds illumination on the effect of social contexts on literary generation and evaluation. He explores how alterations in political situations affected the popularity of diverse forms of literature, from the ascent of genre fiction to the persistent attraction of classical realism. This multifaceted perspective is one of the advantages of his work, allowing for a much subtle appreciation of the complex interactions between literature and history.

Furthermore, Johnson effectively questions the idea that high literature is inherently higher quality to popular literature. He suggests that both types contribute importantly to the wider literary landscape, and that attempting to establish a pecking order between them is ultimately unproductive. His emphasis on the fluid nature of literary value and the influences that determine it promotes a more appreciation for the range of literary productions and the complex methods in which they interact.

In summary, David Johnson's "The Popular and the Canonical: Debating Twentieth-Century Literature 1940-2000" persists a essential work for anyone interested in the study of twentieth-century literature. Its thorough analysis of the intricate interplay between popular and canonical literature presents important perspectives into the mechanisms of literary value and reception. By reconsidering traditional notions, Johnson promotes a greater nuanced and holistic appreciation of the literary realm.

Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs)

Q1: What is the main argument of Johnson's book?

A1: Johnson's central argument is that the distinction between "popular" and "canonical" literature is often artificial and subjective, shaped by complex social, cultural, and historical forces. He challenges the inherent superiority often ascribed to canonical works.

Q2: Who is the intended audience for this book?

A2: The book appeals to a wide audience, including students and scholars of literature, cultural critics, and anyone interested in the history and evolution of literary taste and value.

Q3: What methodology does Johnson employ?

A3: Johnson uses a combination of close textual analysis, historical contextualization, and reception studies to examine the interplay between popular and canonical literature. He presents detailed case studies of specific authors and works.

Q4: How is this book relevant today?

A4: In today's increasingly diverse and fragmented media landscape, Johnson's analysis of the shifting dynamics between popular and canonical literature remains highly relevant. It helps us understand how literary value is constructed and contested, even in the digital age.

https://talk.archlinuxcn.org/051607/Q61818R842/inject/beyonces_lemonade_all_12_tracks_debut-on_hot_100.pdf

https://talk.archlinuxcn.org/180926/G57K457934/flap/1996_chevy_silverado-1500-4x4_owners-manual.pdf

https://talk.archlinuxcn.org/051607/34623HZ/observe/dying-for-a_paycheck.pdf

https://talk.archlinuxcn.org/266X6240B6/871X64B/admire/mcdougal-littell-world-cultures_geography_teacher_edition_grades_6_8_western_hemisphere_and-europe-2005.pdf

https://talk.archlinuxcn.org/051607/15V054Q659/telephone/suzuki-grand-nomade_service-manual.pdf

https://talk.archlinuxcn.org/it/56018IT/type/the_american_spirit-in_the-english_garden.pdf

https://talk.archlinuxcn.org/kc/816K70C/melt/the-handbook_of_phonological-theory-author_john-a_goldsmith-published-on-january_2014.pdf

https://talk.archlinuxcn.org/Y2000M5/051607180926/admire/harry-potter_and_the_philosophers_stone_illustrated-edition.pdf

https://talk.archlinuxcn.org/dm/M3213D1/telephone/abnormal_psychology_11th_edition-kring.pdf

https://talk.archlinuxcn.org/64O286P/180926/moan/mcqs-for_the_primary_frca_oxford_specialty_training.pdf